

R E M A R K S

By this Amendment the specification has been amended to correct certain errors and to improve its description of the invention, claims 1 and 30 have been amended to better define the invention, claim 31 has been corrected, and claim 24 has been canceled. Entry is requested.

In the outstanding final Office Action the examiner has rejected claims 1-34 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson et al. in view of Boyko. In response to the applicants' arguments in traversal of this rejection presented in the Request for Reconsideration of May 5, 2009, the examiner states the features that are argued as distinguishing over the combined references (multiple frequency wireless connection and matching of the acoustic frequency of the resonator with the electromagnetic frequency of the co-axial resonator) are not recited in the rejected claims.


By this Amendment claims 1 and 30 have been appropriately amended to recite these features. Thus, it is now believed that the arguments in the noted Request for Reconsideration (incorporated herein by reference) are supported.

The examiner's prior art rejection should be withdrawn and the presented claims now allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

By:



Richard H. Tushin
Registration No. 27,297
Franklin Square, Third Floor West
1300 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3353
(202) 906-8680